Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/529,206	AOYAMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
/Susan W. Berman/	1796	

	/Susan W. Berman/	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	EPLY FILED 28 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appl for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or to MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.077.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	n. LED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date area been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	lianna with 27 CER 44 27 must be 4	Eladithin too manthe	of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed we	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I 			cause	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet			a lancina for	
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).	
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	see atttached page.			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of	
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10-18.20.21.24.26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attached page. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
	/Susan W Berman/			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1796